

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,863	TAGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helene Klemanski	1755	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Helene Klemanski.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. John K. Shin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Since claim 5 is dependent upon two claims, applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Exam. Amdt. (incorporating claim 2 into claim 5) to overcome 112 rejection to make application allowable.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)